

From the Testing Center of Regional Earthquake Likelihood Models (**RELM**) to the Collaboratory for the Study of Earthquake Predictability (**CSEP**)





Danijel Schorlemmer, Matt Gerstenberger, Tom Jordan, Dave Jackson, Stefan Wiemer, Yan Kagan, Lucy Jones, Ned Field

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich



- Develop a variety of viable, geophysically based earthquake-rupture forecast (ERF) models for the region.

- Examine and compare the implications of each model with respect to seismic hazard and loss estimate.

 Test these models for consistency with existing geophysical data (e.g., historical seismicity) and design and document conclusive tests



- Develop a variety of viable, geophysically based earthquake-rupture forecast (ERF) models for the region.

Large variety of models have been developed

- Examine and compare the implications of each model with respect to seismic hazard and loss estimate.

OpenSHA

 Test these models for consistency with existing geophysical data (e.g., historical seismicity) and design and document conclusive tests

Community-agreed testing procedure & Testing Center



Why is testing so important?

- Evaluate potentially successful forecasts
 Detect overly enthusiastic claims
- Certification process for models (Documentation)
- Validation of models
- Estimation of comparative performance of models Avoid testing only against 'dumb' null hypotheses



How do we Test?

The testing area is separated into cells (grid-based models)

A bin defines a volume (cell), magnitude range, and range of focal mechanism angles for which a forecast is issued

The default binning:

Lon/Lat0.1°x0.1°Depth0-30kmMagnitude0.1Focal Mech.None (30°)



Computing the **likelihood** as the Poissonian probability of making an observation given an expectation.



We apply 3 different tests:

L-Test

Examines the consistency of a model with the observation (in the likelihood space)

N-Test

Test if the number of observed events is in the range of the expectation of a model

R-Test

Compares 2 models by its log-likelihood-ratio. It estimates the differences in spatial performance.

In each test we compare observed values with the value obtained from catalogs simulated based on expectations of a model.



Test data-consistency and compare each model's performance

Parameter uncertainties

error distributions of location, magnitude, and FM angles

Independence probabilities (Declustering)

aftershock vs. main shock

Magnitude completeness windows

time and magnitude

Resolution independent

location, magnitude, focal mechanisms angles

Analysis of spatial and magnitude-range performance



Implementation

What does the testing implementation additionally include?

- Model definitions (Classes of models)

	5-year	1-year	1-day
Forecast duration	5 years	1 year	1 day
Aftershocks	yes/no	yes/no	yes
Magnitude range	5-9	5-9	4-9
Modeler provides	numbers	code	code
Revised data	no	yes	yes



Implementation

What does the testing implementation additionally include?

- Model definitions
- Authorized data sources (Independent) Earthquake catalog





ANSS Backbone Stations

Existing ANSS Backbone Stations (66)
 New EarthScope Stations (12)
 Proposed (22)

ANSS Dense Urban Networks

TExisting Strong Motion Stations

ANSS Regional Networks

Areas with Existing Regional Networks





RELM

Implementation

What does the testing implementation additionally include?





Implementation

What does the testing implementation additionally include?

- Model definitions
- Authorized data sources
- Definition of testing bins
- Declustering





Testing Center Setup





Why a Testing Center?

A controlled environment for:

- Test multiple models against each other
- Re-run the tests with alternative options (different magnitude ranges)
- Re-run the tests in case of bugs in the testing procedure
- Document each models code and potential changes to it
- Track the modeler's additional data and deposit it
- 'Certify' all steps of testing
- Convince the public that nobody cheated!



Submitted Models

17 5-year models have been submitted to the Testing Center



Bird & Liu SHIFT (quasi-static Poissonian forecast, including aftershocks)

Ebel et al. 5-yr main shock+aftershock model 5-yr main shock model

Frankel 1996 National Hazard Model

Helmstetter, Kagan, Jackson

HKJ 2005 long-term model (De-clustered) HKJ 2005 long-term model (Complete)

Holliday et al. Pattern Informatics

Shen, Jackson, and Kagan

Geodetic De-clustered Forecast Geodetic Complete Forecast

Ward

combo81 geodetic81 geodetic85 geologic81 seismic81 simulation

Wiemer & Schorlemmer

Asperity Likelihood Model

WG 2002 National Hazard Model





- New probability distributions



- New testing/scoring methods





- Expand the model space by
 - Including new areas







- Expand the model space by
 - Including new authorized data sources



Slip distribution of the 2004 Parkfield event Courtesy of Chen Ji





- Expand the model space by
 - Fault-based testing



SCEC Community Fault Model









- RELM achieved most of its goals:
 Development of models
 OpenSHA
 Community-accepted testing is underway
- RELM established a new standard in rigorous testing of probabilistic earthquake forecasts (Testing Center)
- CSEP will extend RELM by: Expanding the model space Introducing new testing procedures Establishing a world-wide center for earthquake predictability research Reporting to governmental agencies (CEPEC, NEPEC) Outreach



Example



20-year expectations for events of magnitude $M \ge 5$



Example





Time lines



Learning period

Non-authorized data can be deposited by the modeler. Data gets a time-stamp for reproducibility results. Waiting period 1

Time before the authorized catalog for t_0 can be provided.

t_c: Compute the forecasts.

Waiting period 2

Time before the authorized catalog for t_1 can be provided.

t₊: Perform the tests and compute the results.